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I. Learner Outcomes

- Discuss strategies for behavioral & objective monitoring in adults & children
- Discuss approaches for determining objective ototoxic change criteria
- Outline major components for establishing an ototoxicity monitoring program
II. Overview: Basic Principles

Dawn Konrad-Martin, Ph.D
& Stephen Fausti, Ph.D.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antineoplastic Drugs</th>
<th>Aminoglycosides</th>
<th>Loop Diuretics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Gentamicin*</td>
<td>Furosemide*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboplatin</td>
<td>Neomycin*</td>
<td>Ethacrynic acid*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>Bumetanide*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen mustard</td>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate*</td>
<td>Streptomycin*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincristine</td>
<td>Tobramycin*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactinomycin</td>
<td>Netilmicin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Antibiotics</th>
<th>Antimalarial Drugs</th>
<th>Salicylates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*vestibulotoxic
Common Uses

- **Aminoglycoside antibiotics**
  - Gram-negative bacterial infections including those associated with meningitis, wounds, osteomyelitis, tuberculosis and cystic fibrosis
  - In pediatric populations, gentamicin used for medical management of neonates in NICU and tobramycin used to treat cystic fibrosis

- **Cisplatin, carboplatin, oxaliplatin**
  - Antineoplastic agents used to aggressively treat many forms of cancers
Symptoms

- **Tinnitus**
- **Hearing loss**
  - Difficulty understanding speech in noise
  - Sensorineural, usually bilateral, symmetric
  - Progresses from high to low frequencies
- **Dizziness**
  - Dysequilibrium, oscillopsia, vertigo
- **Symptoms can be delayed days, months**
- **Usually permanent, sometimes recovers**
Oxidative Mechanisms

**Oxidize**: Add oxygen, remove hydrogen, or *remove electrons from another compound*

**Free radicals**: Molecules that exist independently having unpaired electrons, energetically unstable, can react with other compounds

**Redox Reactions**: To stabilize, free radical oxidizes adjacent compound creating a chain reaction

**Oxidative Damage**: Damage to critical macro-molecules (e.g., DNA, proteins, lipids) causing cell damage, dysfunction or death

Pathophysiology: AMG

- Hair cell damage & loss
  - Free radical formation (Rotstein & Mandell, 2004; Song & Schact, 1996)
  - Excitotoxic damage (Rostein & Mandell; Roge & Schact, 2000)

- Begins at base (high frequencies), progresses toward apex (lower frequencies)

- First row of OHCs affected first, followed by second and third rows, and then the IHCs (Brockenbrough et al., 2001)
Pathophys: Platinum-based Drugs

- **Oxidative Damage** (Evans & Halliwell, 1999; Gratton & Smith, 2004; Rybak & Kelly, 2003)
  - Hair cell damage/death
  - Damage to stria vascularis and spiral ganglion cells (Tsukassaki et al., 2000)

- Hair cell damage begins at base, progresses toward apex, first row of OHCs followed by second and third rows, and then the IHCs (Gratton & Smyth, 2004)
Complaints of ototoxic damage are uncommon until communication problem becomes significant.

Difficult to predict ototoxic damage:
- Relationship to drug dosage, peak serum levels, and other toxicities is variable.
Tests sensitive to damage at high-frequencies provide earliest detection (Fausti et al., 1999; Ress et al., 1999)

- Pure-tone thresholds near upper frequency hearing limit (e.g., ultra-high frequency audiometry)
- Evoked otoacoustic emissions (OAEs)
- High-frequency auditory brainstem responses (ABRs)
So What?

Should we care about early changes at the high frequencies enough to take the time to measure them?
Consequences for Communication

- Audibility of consonants critical for understanding speech (De Paoli et al., 1996)
  - Most energy from 2 to 4 kHz
  - 50% of English consonants are fricatives (/v,f,z,s/, etc.) & contain energy through at least 8 kHz
  - /s/ spoken by women & children indistinguishable from /f/, /th/ when energy cut off at 4 kHz (Stelmachowicz et al., 2001)

- Consonants are low in level compared to vowels
  - Unvoiced (/s,p,t,k,th,f,sh/) often below normal thresholds in rapid speech (Northern & Downs, 2002)
Audibility from 2 to 9 kHz impacts speech & language of children (Stelmachowicz et al., 2004)
Relevance of Early Identification

- Loss within 2 to 9 kHz range clinically significant for children
- Some impact of high frequency loss on speech understanding, even in adults
- And… hearing aid amplification typically cuts off at 5 kHz
- Moreover, continued damage may affect more of the critical speech frequencies
Prevention is the BEST form of Rehabilitation
SELECTIVE HEARING AIDS FOR MEN
Early detection may prevent hearing damage that requires amplification/rehabilitation
If change observed, treatment modification can prevent further hearing loss
If no change observed, continued treatment warranted
Provides opportunity for counseling and rehabilitation during and post treatment
Rationale for Monitoring

Informed medical decisions
Target Patient Population

- Receiving highly ototoxic drugs
- Very old & very young people
- Poor medical condition
- Poor renal function
- Poor hydration status
- Familial tendency for susceptibility (aminoglycoside antibiotics)
- Receiving more than one ototoxic drug
- Receiving large or multiple doses
Incidence

- Patient population differences
  Different risk factors
- Methodological differences
  Established baseline
  Criteria for hearing change
  Frequency range tested
- No standard monitoring techniques
# Ototoxic Medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antineoplastic Drugs</th>
<th>Aminoglycosides</th>
<th>Loop Diuretics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Gentamicin*</td>
<td>Furosemide*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboplatin</td>
<td>Neomycin*</td>
<td>Ethacrynic acid*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxaliplatin</td>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>Bumetanide*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen mustard</td>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate*</td>
<td>Streptomycin*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincristine</td>
<td>Tobramycin*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dactinomycin</td>
<td>Netilmicin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleomycin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Antibiotics</th>
<th>Antimalarial Drugs</th>
<th>Salicylates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>See handout</td>
<td>See handout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See handout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Veteran Study

Hearing loss and tinnitus in large prospective study of ototoxicity

Subjects

- 35 female and 453 male adults (962 ears) seen at one of three VA Participating Sites: VA Medical Centers at Portland, OR; Nashville, TN; and West Los Angeles, CA.

- 2 Groups
  - Adult in-patients and out-patients receiving cisplatin (CDDP group) or carboplatin (Carbo group), or selected aminoglycoside antibiotics or the antibiotic vancomycin (AMG group)
  - Controls were hospitalized patients
Procedures

**Baseline Evaluation**

1. Case history, noise exposure and tinnitus history
2. Otoscopy
3. Tympanometry
4. Pure-tone thresholds (0.5 to 8 kHz in 1/2-octave steps and 9 to 20 kHz in 1/6th-octave steps); and
5. Identification of uppermost frequency with a threshold of \( \leq 100\text{dB SPL} \) followed by the adjacent six lower frequencies in 1/6th octave steps (SRO).
Procedures

- **Baseline Recheck.**
  - Repeated pure-tone thresholds within 24 hours or as soon as possible, to determine intersession reliability
  - Subjects excluded if test-retest differences exceeded 5 dB.

- **Monitor Evaluations**
  - Included (1) tinnitus questionnaire; (2) otoscopy; (3) tympanometry; and (4) pure-tone thresholds
  - CDDP and Carbo subjects tested w/in 24 hours of each dose
  - AMG and Control subjects monitored every 2 to 3 days throughout treatment course.

- **Post-treatment Evaluations**
  - ASAP following treatment cessation, and at one, three, and six months following treatment
  - same procedures used as for monitor evaluations
Criteria for Hearing Change

- Subjects served as their own control for hearing change, which was relative to their baseline evaluation.

- Criteria was from ASHA 1994 guidelines:
  - (1) > 20 dB change at any one test frequency
  - (2) > 10 dB change at any two consecutive test frequencies
  - (3) loss of response at three consecutive test frequencies where responses were previously obtained.

- Hearing change by any of these criteria was confirmed by retest
Criteria for Tinnitus Onset

- Analyzed responses to two questions on the tinnitus questionnaire that were repeated at each evaluation
  - (1) Does the subject have tinnitus?
  - (2) If so, in which ear?
- Audiologists administering the questionnaire explained to subjects that an affirmative answer was appropriate when tinnitus was present most of the time, whether it was an intermittent or constant sound.
## Group Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% T at Baseline</th>
<th>Age in Years mean (SD)</th>
<th>HF PTA in SPL mean (SD)</th>
<th>Highest Fq in kHz mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CDDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>59.6 (9.2)</td>
<td>43.8 (17.2)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No T</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>60.9 (10.6)</td>
<td>38.0 (16.2)</td>
<td>11.3 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>368</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.3 (10.0)</td>
<td>40.5 (16.9)</td>
<td>11.0 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td>64.5 (11.2)</td>
<td>48.4 (17.4)</td>
<td>9.5 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No T</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>62.5 (9.1)</td>
<td>38.8 (19.0)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.4 (10.1)</td>
<td>43.2 (18.8)</td>
<td>10.1 (3.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>55.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>39.7 (18.9)</td>
<td>11.9 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No T</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>55.7 (10.7)</td>
<td>34.4 (15.1)</td>
<td>10.7 (3.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>241</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.3 (10.7)</td>
<td>36.6 (17.0)</td>
<td>11.4 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>54.7 (12.0)</td>
<td>38.3 (19.5)</td>
<td>11.42 (3.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No T</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>53.1 (12.3)</td>
<td>30.7 (16.7)</td>
<td>12.3 (3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.7 (12.1)</td>
<td>33.6 (18.1)</td>
<td>12.0 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>58.5 (11.0)</td>
<td>42.6 (18.4)</td>
<td>10.6 (3.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No T</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>57.9 (11.4)</td>
<td>35.5 (16.7)</td>
<td>11.5 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>962</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.1 (11.2)</td>
<td>39.2 (18.0)</td>
<td>11.1 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subjects free from tinnitus bilaterally at baseline were used to provide evidence for a link between administration of ototoxic drugs and tinnitus.
Ototoxic Hearing Change Compared to Tinnitus Onset

![Graph showing the percentage of ears tinnitus-free at baseline for different drug treatments.]

- **Control**: Ears that developed tinnitus = 10%, Ears with confirmed hearing change = 20%
- **AMG**: Ears that developed tinnitus = 20%, Ears with confirmed hearing change = 10%
- **Carbo**: Ears that developed tinnitus = 30%, Ears with confirmed hearing change = 20%
- **CDDP**: Ears that developed tinnitus = 40%, Ears with confirmed hearing change = 40%
Incidence in Veteran Study

Percent (Subjects)

- Control
- AMG
- Carbo
- CDDP

Legend:
- T onset and H change
- T onset only
- H change only
- No change
Results & Conclusions

- Ototoxic symptoms of tinnitus and/or hearing change occurred in 34% of AMG-, 38% of Carbo-, and 65% of CDDP subjects.
- Cisplatin was more ototoxic compared to carboplatin or aminoglycoside antibiotics.
- Tinnitus onset and hearing change occurred with similar frequency for cisplatin-treated subjects.
- Incidence of tinnitus onset was higher compared to hearing change following carboplatin and aminoglycoside treatment.