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My cocktail party story….

“There are lots of great treatments for people with hearing loss …but so many people who could really benefit don’t …sometimes they can’t get access to the treatment or sometimes when they get the treatment they don’t do as well as they should…our research is all about improving the uptake and outcomes of these treatments.”
What have we been doing beyond the clinic?

1. Developing and evaluating instructions for older adults provided with a self-fitting hearing aid
2. Evaluating remote cochlear implant mapping for children
3. Investigating actions taken by people who fail a telephone hearing screening test
Patient-centred communication is the key in the clinic and beyond....
Self-Fitting Hearing Aid Project

1. Health literacy

2. Study on hearing aid user guides

3. Study on health literacy, user guides, and hearing aid management

4. Study on self-fitting hearing aid instructions

PhD student: Andrea Caposecco
Supervisors: Louise Hickson and Carly Meyer
Health literacy = “the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services to make appropriate health decisions” (Ratzan and Parker, 2000).

Limited health literacy consistently associated with poorer health outcomes & poorer use of health-care services. For example: more hospitalization; poorer ability to manage chronic health conditions & to take medications appropriately; and among older people, poorer overall health status & higher mortality rates (Berkman et al., 2011).
National Assessment of Adult Literacy (2003) – measured health literacy in 19,000 American adults.

- 12% Proficient
- 53% Intermediate
- 22% Basic
- 14% Below Basic (29% of adults ≥65 years of age)

Other studies have found that between 33% and 89% of older adults have low health literacy.
At least 30% of older people have low health literacy
In the past the focus was on patients’ deficits and their lack of knowledge and skills to obtain, process, and understand health information (Koh et al., 2013).

But the growing complexity of modern day health care challenges virtually all patients (Brach et al., 2012; Koh et al., 2012).

“We need research that investigates how health care organizations can make health information and services less complex and more adaptable for everyone” (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010a).
Topic 2: Hearing Aid User Guides

Journal : International Journal of Audiology
Title: Hearing Aid User Guides: Suitability for Older Adults
(Caposecco, Hickson, & Meyer, in press)
Aim

To analyse the content, design, and readability of printed hearing aid (HA) user guides to determine their suitability for older adults.
Background

• All hearing aids come with a printed user guide.

• Should play an integral role in the transfer of information about aid management, use, and trouble shooting.

• Only useful if read and understood by the client.

Must be a match between the content, design, and readability of the user guide and the literacy and cognitive requirements of target audience.
Missing the Target

More than 300 studies show that written health care materials often far exceed the average reading ability of the target audience (Griffin et al., 2006)
• **Nair & Cienkowski, 2010:** The mean language level of audiology patients was <3rd grade and the mean reading level of their user guides was 8th grade.

• **Brooke et al., 2012:** 40 out of 40 participants experienced problems in completing HA tasks while following instructions provided by Danalogic and Unitron user guides.
36 User Guides (9 manufacturers)

For each manufacturer:

• 2 behind-the-ear (low and mid price)

• 2 in-the-canal (low and mid price)
Assessment Tools

1. Content and Design
   Suitability Assessment of Materials (SAM)
   (Doak et al., 1996).

1. Content
2. Literacy Demand
3. Graphics
4. Layout and typography
5. Learning stimulation, motivation
6. Cultural Appropriateness

70 to 100% Superior Material
40 to 69% Adequate Material
0 to 39% Not Suitable Material

2. Readability
Results – Overall Suitability

- Average SAM rating: 52% (range = 40 to 68%)
- None were rated “superior”
- 50% of content/design areas consistently rated “not suitable”.
- 69% were rated “not suitable” because the readability level was ≥9\textsuperscript{th} grade.
Results - Literacy Demand

• The mean reading level was grade 9.6 (range = 6.6 to 12.7). For example:

  “As long as the DAI adaptor is connected to the instrument two programs will automatically be added after the standard programs, which have been programmed in to your hearing instrument by your hearing care professional.” (reading level = >grade 12)

• Uncommon words, technical words, and jargon were often used in lieu of common words. For example: remedied for fix; desiccator for dry-aid kit.
**Scope** was expanded beyond the purpose or far out of proportion of the purpose in 94% of user guides

- Multiple aids
- Multiple mould types
- Features majority of adults are unlikely to use
- Features not available on the aid
- Warnings and information for HA dispensers
- Battery disposal information relevant to Europe/USA only
Results – Other Common Issues

- Aid details page poorly set out and difficult to read
- No quick guide
- Use of gloss or semi gloss paper
- Low contrast between text and paper
- Small font size
- Inclusion of extensive technical information
- Graphics often difficult to see and without captions
Direct Audio Input (DAI)
Using the Direct Audio Input socket enables direct, undisturbed connection to facilities such as: Television - Radio - Remote microphone.

How to connect the audio boot to the hearing instrument:
Pay close attention to the illustrations on how to connect and disconnect the audio boot below:
1. Make sure the tip of the audio boot is placed firstly in the HAI (Hearing Instrument Accessories Interface).
2. Click the audio boot on to the hearing instrument.
3. The audio boot is now connected.

Low Battery Warning
Your hearing care professional can activate a Low Battery Warning function in your hearing instrument. When the battery voltage/power decreases to a certain level, the instrument will emit five soft “beeping” signals. This sequence will continue every five minutes until the instrument automatically switches off. The occurrence of the sequence can differ by using rechargeable batteries and also between different battery brands. It is recommended that you keep a spare battery on hand.

Changing the Battery
1. Gently push the battery compartment to open.
2. Use a magnet pin to remove the battery. It can be tough.
3. After removing the old battery, insert the new one. It is important to insert the battery with the positive side in the correct position. The battery door has a + marking to help determine correct insertion.
4. Always use Zinc-Air or rechargeable batteries size 312 (61 model) and #13 for (71 & 81 models)

Tip
Removing the battery when you are not wearing the instrument for a longer period will help prevent corrosion of the battery contacts.

Maintenance
Daily Maintenance
It is important to keep your hearing instrument clean and dry every day. To clean the instrument, use a soft cloth. If the instrument has been exposed to high humidity or perspiration, use a drying kit that is available from your hearing care professional.

To avoid the need for repairs:
1. Never immerse the instrument in water or other liquids since this may cause permanent damage to the hearing instrument.
2. Protect your hearing instrument from rough handling, and avoid dropping it on hard surfaces or floors.
3. Do not leave the instrument in or near direct heat or sunlight since excessive heat can damage the instrument or deform the casing.

Cleaning the Earmould
The earmould should be cleaned regularly:
1. Remove the earmould and the tubing from the hearing instrument before you clean it.
2. To clean the earmould; rinse with lukewarm water.
3. If ear wax is stuck in the sound canal of the earmould, the cleaning loop or a syringe with lukewarm water can be used to “push” the wax out.
4. Blow gently through the tubing to remove moisture trapped inside.
5. Be sure to thoroughly dry the earmould and its tubing before reconnecting it to the hearing instrument.

The tubing connecting the earmould to the hearing instrument should be changed if it becomes stiff or brittle. Contact your hearing care professional to change the tubing when needed.
Batteries

Your hearing aid takes a size 13 battery

Changing the battery:

1. Find the battery door. It is at the bottom of the aid.

2. Open the battery door. To do this pull up on the ridge at the bottom of the aid. Remove the old battery.

3. Take the sticker off the new battery. Note: the sticker is on the positive (+) side of the battery.

4. Put the battery into the battery door. Make sure the + side of the battery faces upwards. Close the battery door.

Low battery warnings:

- **Low power**: You will hear 2 beeps when the battery power is low. The beeps will start when the battery has a few hours left. The 2 beeps will repeat every 15 minutes. If you hear the 2 beeps, change the battery.

- **Flat battery**: When the battery is flat, the aid will turn off. You will hear 4 beeps to indicate this.
Summary

• Majority of hearing aid user guides are not optimal for older adults and there is significant scope for improvement

• The next step is to test if the ability to manage hearing aids will be improved by better designed materials.
Health Literacy, Hearing Aid User Guides, and Hearing Aid Management
Aims

1. Does a HA user guide revised using best practice guidelines result in a) superior ability to perform HA management tasks, and b) greater knowledge about HAs; compared to the user guide in its original form?

2. Is there a relationship between health literacy and the ability of older adults to a) perform HA management tasks, and b) acquire knowledge on HAs; using a HA user guide?

3. Do older adults prefer a HA user guide revised according to best practice guidelines?
Method

89 participants
*No HA experience
*>55 years old (mean = 73 years)

*Measure of self efficacy for HAs
*Attitude to HAs

Original User Guide n = 42

Modified User Guide N = 47

*HA Management Test
*HA Knowledge Test

User guide survey

Test of Functional Health Literacy
Montreal Cognitive Assessment
Grooved pegboard Test
Screening Audiogram
The User Guides

Original User Guide

Modified User Guide

User Guide

Oticon Acto
BTE
Examples of Best Practice Design

- Focus on behaviour ("how-to" information)
- Emphasize small, practical steps
- Present the most important or useful information first
- Use 12 to 14 point font
- Write in 3rd to 6th grade reading level
- Use active voice and common words
- Use dark letters on a light background
- Use simple line drawings
- Include lots of white space
**Quick Guide**

1. **Changing batteries**
   1. Find battery door.
   2. Open battery door — pull up on ridge.
   3. Put battery in battery door. Make sure + side faces up.

2. **On / Off**

3. **Volume control**
   - **To increase volume:**
     - Press upper part of button for 1 sec. Repeat as necessary.
   - **To decrease volume:**
     - Press lower part of button for 1 sec. Repeat as necessary.

4. **Changing the sound program**
   - **Program 1**
     - Press lower part of button for 2 sec.
   - **Program 2**
     - Press upper part of button for 2 sec.
Participants

- 89 participants
- 61 females and 28 males
- Average age = 73 years (range= 55 to 95 years)
- 9 with low health literacy (10%)
- 54 (61%) with hearing loss (4FAHL in better ear >25dBHL)
- 36 low/mid and 53 high socio-economic status
Hearing Aid Management Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Name:</th>
<th>DOB:</th>
<th>Study Number:</th>
<th>Handedness (circle): Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of testing:</td>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Tester:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part A
Move to prompts after 2 minutes has elapsed, participant scores 1 or 0, or gives up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number</th>
<th>Nil</th>
<th>Quick Guide</th>
<th>User Guide</th>
<th>Correct section located in user guide</th>
<th>Task Performance</th>
<th>Time (if score is 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Change the HA battery</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Turn the HA on and off</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Put the HA in your ear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hold the phone with the HA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Turn up the volume of HA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Switch the HA to program 2 – noise sound prog</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Clean wax from tube</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part B: Prompts
Move to next step after 2 minutes has elapsed, participant scores 1 or 0, or gives up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Hand Booklet to participant if they have not used booklet</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Quick Guide</th>
<th>User Guide</th>
<th>Correct section located in user guide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Point to section in Quick Guide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Quick Guide</td>
<td>User Guide</td>
<td>Correct section located in user guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Open to section in User Guide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Model with verbal instructions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final task performance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (from start to finish)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Creating Sound Value™
Preliminary Results

• No significant differences in task performance between the groups for the two simple tasks.
• Participants with the modified guide performed significantly better for all five complex tasks.
  ➢ More likely to perform each task correctly with no prompts.
  ➢ Required less prompts overall
  ➢ More likely to take less time to complete tasks.

• Participants with the modified guide performed significantly better on a HA knowledge test.
Preliminary Results

Percentage of participants able to perform each HA task correctly with the user guide alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Modified User Guide</th>
<th>Original User Guide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary Results

Median time taken for participants who were able to perform the task correctly with the user guide alone

Median Time (seconds)

Task

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Modified User Guide

Original User Guide

Task 4 is circled in red.
Preferred User Guide

Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original User Guide</th>
<th>Modified User Guide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

creating sound value™
Take Home Messages

Message #1

Older adults are better able to perform hearing aid management tasks with a user guide designed using best-practice guidelines.

Message #2

...and the majority of older adults prefer this type of user guide.
Management of a self-fitting hearing aid in developing and developed countries

Elizabeth Convery\textsuperscript{1,2}, Lisa Hartley\textsuperscript{1,2}, Andrea Caposecco\textsuperscript{2,3}, Gitte Keidser\textsuperscript{1,2}, De Wet Swanepoel\textsuperscript{4}, and Lena Wong\textsuperscript{5}, Louise Hickson\textsuperscript{23}, Carly Meyer\textsuperscript{23}, Eed Shen\textsuperscript{5}

\textsuperscript{1}National Acoustic Laboratories
\textsuperscript{2}HEARing Cooperative Research Centre
\textsuperscript{3}University of Queensland
\textsuperscript{4}University of Pretoria
\textsuperscript{5}University of Hong Kong

International Journal of Audiology, 2013, 52 (6), pp. 385-393

Also see special issue of Trends in Amplification (2011) on Self-Fitting Hearing Aid
Rationale & Need

• 2/3 of 278 million people in the world with significant permanent hearing loss live in developing countries
• < 3% of them have access to hearing rehabilitation services
• other barriers
  – cost of hearing aids re: household income
  – few or no audiologists
  – sporadic/short-term visits from aid organisations
The Self-Fitting Hearing Aid

onboard, in situ measurement of hearing thresholds

Automatic audiometer

Prescription formula

Real ear to coupler difference

Adjust hearing aid

automatic application of prescriptive fitting algorithm

user responsible for:
✓ assembly
✓ fitting
✓ fine-tuning
✓ management

professional input
computer support
telephone access
ear impressions
Aim

- to investigate management of the **assembly** component of the self-fitting process among hearing-impaired people in developing and developed countries
- can participants (and their partners, if requested) follow a set of illustrated, written instructions to do the following:
  - select and assemble an instant-fit tip, tube, and BTE hearing aid
  - insert a battery
  - insert the device into the ear
  - troubleshoot the physical fit
  - press a button to activate the device
Assembly Instructions

- designed in accordance with best practice health literacy principles ("ability to obtain and understand the basic health information needed to make appropriate health decisions")

3. Check you have all the parts:

- Hearing aid body
- Three (3) tubes
- Three (3) domes
- Battery

4. Now you will put the parts together. Please follow the steps shown on the next few pages. This is how the hearing aid will look when you are finished.

Hearing aid body

Three (3) tubes

Three (3) domes

Battery

Tube

Dome

line drawings paired with text to reinforce the message

Caposecco et al., 2011
# Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Australia (N = 80)</th>
<th>South Africa (N = 40)</th>
<th>Hong Kong (N = 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assembly instructions</td>
<td>v1.0 (English)</td>
<td>v2.0 (English)</td>
<td>v2.0 (Chinese)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>65% M, 35% F</td>
<td>45% M, 55% F</td>
<td>50% M, 50% F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>TAFE/trade</td>
<td>high school</td>
<td>&lt; high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>manager</td>
<td>unemployed</td>
<td>labourer/driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision (self-assessed)</td>
<td>excellent/good</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading (self-assessed)</td>
<td>excellent</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing (self-assessed)</td>
<td>fair</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing aid experience</td>
<td>11.0 years</td>
<td>3.8 years</td>
<td>2.4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive function (MoCA)</td>
<td>26/30</td>
<td>22/30</td>
<td>22/30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual dexterity (GPT)</td>
<td>101 seconds</td>
<td>116 seconds</td>
<td>108 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health literacy (S-TOFHLA)</td>
<td>34/36</td>
<td>27/36</td>
<td>26/36**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hong Kong version (Nasreddine et al., 2010)  **Chinese version (Tang et al., 2008)
# Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australia (N = 80)</th>
<th>South Africa (N = 40)</th>
<th>Hong Kong (N = 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructions v1.0 (English)</td>
<td>Instructions v2.0 (English)</td>
<td>Instructions v2.0 (Chinese)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age = 73 years (range = 45 – 90)</td>
<td>mean age = 67 years (range = 32 – 88)</td>
<td>mean age = 74 years (range = 60 – 92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ education</td>
<td>↓ education</td>
<td>↓ education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>++ hearing aid use</td>
<td>+ hearing aid use</td>
<td>– hearing aid use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ cognitive function</td>
<td>↓ cognitive function</td>
<td>↓ cognitive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ manual dexterity</td>
<td>↓ manual dexterity</td>
<td>↑ manual dexterity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ health literacy</td>
<td>↓ health literacy</td>
<td>↓ health literacy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Across all sites: Median = 67 years (s.d. = 18.9)  
Range = 13 – 92 years
Independent Task Completion

More participants completed the task independently the second time (and they did so significantly faster)

- Health literacy a factor in AUS and SA, but not in HK... why?
Accurate Task Completion

- revised instructions helped more participants do the task correctly
- but this did not happen in HK... again, why?

58% of HK subjects did not read the instruction booklet fully, or at all!

female ↑ health literacy
effect of revised instructions
no significant predictors
Conclusions

• health literacy played a major role in whether or not the AU and SA groups were able to assemble the hearing aid independently

• SA participants had lower health literacy levels overall re: the AU group, yet with an improved version of the instructions they were far more accurate at the assembly task (63% vs 25%)

• health literacy had a significant effect on accuracy only among the AU participants, not the SA participants

good news

with the right instructions, a low level of health literacy is not a barrier to assembling a self-fitting hearing aid
Conclusions

- Despite having many characteristics in common with the SA group, the HK participants had a significantly higher error rate than the other two test sites.
- HK was the only site recording a large number of omissions (skipped steps).
- Health literacy did not have an effect on independent or accurate task completion.

Likely reason: majority of the group did not make use of the instructions!
Take Home Messages

Message #1

People of diverse linguistic, cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds can accomplish the self-fitting hearing aid assembly task...

Message #2

...but the instructions are critical to success!
What have we been doing beyond the clinic?

1. Developing and evaluating instructions for older adults provided with a self-fitting hearing aid

2. Evaluating remote cochlear implant mapping for children

3. Investigating actions taken by people who fail a telephone hearing screening test
Remote CI Mapping Project

• CI audiology is a specialized field and usually only available in larger cities or via “outreach” services

• Outreach service visits may not be time or cost effective for the hospital or clinic providing the service

• Remote MAPping offers an alternative solution and may allow more equitable access to MAPping services for these families

• Small number of anecdotal and proof of concept reports on remote MAPping (Franck et al 2006; Polovoy, 2008; Ricks, 2008)
Background

Hear and Say Cochlear Implant Program – Queensland, Australia

Number of Cochlear Implants

- Number of Cochlear Implants

Aims of the Validation Study

1) Investigate the criterion validity of CI MAPs created using remote MAPping by comparing them to MAPs created in the conventional manner (FTF)

2) Assess satisfaction of children, parents and professionals with remote MAPping of CIs

3) Develop procedures for remote MAPping

4) Assess the eHAB telerehabilitation system

Emma Rushbrooke’s Masters project
emma@hearaandsay.com.au
## Project Sub Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Remote vs FTF</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>6 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt;10yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Remote vs FTF</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.9yrs</td>
<td>10 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5-10yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Remote vs FTF</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.25yrs</td>
<td>6 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3-5yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>Remote only “implementation trial”</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.43yrs</td>
<td>2 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt;5yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* FTF = face to face environment; Remote = audiologist in another room or offsite location; (M) = male; (F) = female
Method Groups 1,2,3

- Electrode comparisons - a subset of 8 electrodes MAPped alternately in face-to-face (FTF) and remote conditions
- Speech perception comparisons using the FTF MAP vs the remote MAP
- Satisfaction questionnaire
Example of the eHAB® System
(NeoRehab Pty Ltd, Brisbane, Australia)
www.neorehab.com
NeoRehab Virtual Clinic

Healthcare Provider

Private network

NeoRehab server

Patients

eHAB device
eHAB devices
Room Set up

On-line audiologist

FTF audiologist, CI participant and parent

CI participant
Room Set up

Play audiometry
Results

• No significant difference between MAPping levels obtained remotely vs face-to-face for these groups
• Speech perception testing showed no significant difference between the two conditions for these two groups
• Overall, valid and acceptable for children >3yrs
## Electrode Comparisons

Paired t- test of the electrode T and C current levels obtained in FTF and remote conditions in the 5 to 10 years age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(electrodes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-level FTF</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>131.19 (24.31)</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-level</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>131.27 (24.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-level FTF</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>184.48 (27.15)</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-level</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>184.68 (27.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.58*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. FTF = face to face; N= number of electrodes; CL= current level; SD= standard deviation; df = degrees of freedom; (* level of no significant difference = >0.05).
### Speech Perception Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Test</th>
<th>FTF N</th>
<th>Mean Score %</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Remote N</th>
<th>Mean Score %</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Wilcoxon z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNC Words Recorded 65dBA (Quiet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoneme Score</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>80.15</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>53-94</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81.38</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>56-93</td>
<td>-1.348</td>
<td>.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vowel Score</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>85.38</td>
<td>19.85</td>
<td>28-100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>85.92</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>28-100</td>
<td>-.179</td>
<td>.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consonant Score</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.38</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>52-96</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>77.84</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>50-94</td>
<td>-1.101</td>
<td>.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Score</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60.76</td>
<td>22.08</td>
<td>24-92</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61.38</td>
<td>22.98</td>
<td>20-92</td>
<td>-.238</td>
<td>.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKB Sentences Recorded 65dBA (Quiet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>82.15</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>52-100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>84.46</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>-.940</td>
<td>.347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Groups 1 and 2 children > 5 years

- Picture quality – 10% excellent; 80% good or v. good; 10% not so good
- Sound quality – 90% good or v. good; 10% not so good
- MAP created– 100 % very happy or completely happy
- Level of benefit— all felt that it would be of benefit to be able to offer remote MAPping
- Speed of session- 20% less than expected; 60% as expected; 10% more than expected
- Comments - liked the text chat function, occasional report of time lag between stimulus presentation
Parent Feedback

• Many of the parents noted that they found the remote MAPping procedure interesting
• One commented that it was “interactive” and another “engaging”.
• Some parents felt their child enjoyed using the VC technology
• Another noted that the procedure “maintained the personal touch”.
• Liked the instant messaging function
• Most felt the procedure would be useful and that they could see the potential.
Clinician Feedback

• All professionals thought the videoconferencing system was very good or excellent.
• 50% said visual quality was very good or excellent and 50% said it was good.
• In some sessions the picture was more pixilated than preferred but this did not affect the outcomes.
• 100% were very confident or completely confident with the results obtained and were very satisfied with the remote MAPping procedure.
Take Home Messages

Message #1
Remote mapping of CIs is a valid clinical approach with no difference to face-to-face mapping

Message #2
...maintenance of good communication was related to satisfaction with this approach
What have we been doing beyond the clinic?

1. Developing and evaluating instructions for older adults provided with a self-fitting hearing aid
2. Evaluating remote cochlear implant mapping for children
3. Investigating actions taken by people who fail a telephone hearing screening test
Telephone Hearing Screening Project

Background

• Telscreen is a telephone-based test of hearing developed by NAL and launched by Australian Hearing in 2007.

• www.hearing.com.au/telscreen

• Aim of this study = to investigate the actions taken by individuals 4-5 months after failing the Telscreen (n = 193)

What is Telscreen?

- Based on the method developed by Smits et al in the Netherlands
- System uses an interactive voice response platform to deliver a series of three tones presented in background noise with variable SNR.
- The idea = to allow people who suspect they have a hearing loss to test themselves without revealing their concerns to others
- Correlation between 4FAHL and Telscreen result is .77
- Callers are told if their result is “Within the normal range”, “outside the normal range” or “near the edge of the normal range”. After the test, callers are asked if they want to be put through to a health professional to discuss the results.
What was your main reason for calling Telscreen?

- Genuine concern about hearing: 33%
- Curious about hearing: 33%
- Family or friend suggested: 22%
- Curious about the test: 10%
- Other: 2%

Age 24 to 93 years; mean = 68; 58% female
Before you called Telscreen did you feel you had a hearing loss?

- Yes: 75%
- No: 23%
- Don't know: 2%
What result did you get on the Telscreen test?

- 44% Pass
- 28% Fail
- 21% Borderline
- 8% Don’t know
Actions taken by individuals who failed Telscreen 4 - 5 months later

Fail Telscreen (n = 100)

Seek help (n = 31)

HAs not recommended (n = 19)

HAs recommended (n = 12)

Do not obtain HAs (n = 6)

Obtain HAs (n = 6)

Use & value HAs (n = 4)

Significant factors
Acknowledgement of Telscreen result
Past consideration of hearing aids
• Help-seeking rate of 31% lower than reported by Yueh et al (2010) for questionnaire screening (39%) and by Smits et al (2006) for telephone testing (~50%)

• Other studies show between 40 and 80% of medical info is forgotten immediately (Kessels, 2003)

• Was there a problem with how test results were communicated to the callers?? ‘Outside the normal range’
Take Home Messages

Message #1
Telephone hearing screening is a low cost hearing screening that prompts help-seeking for some adults.

Message #2
...but it might be improved with better communication of test results.
Back to the Main Message

Patient-centred communication is the key in the clinic and beyond....
Major research push into home and community–based hearing healthcare in Australia for children and adults
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